Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 2025)

Department	Revenue (Iowa	Date:	8/23/2024	Total Rule	14
Name:	Lottery			Count:	
	Authority)				
	531	Chapter/	11	Iowa Code	99G.9, 99G.21,
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	99G.30, 99G.31
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	
				Rule:	
Contact	Madelyn	Email:	madelyn.cutler@iowa.gov	Phone:	(515) 724-2924
Name:	Cutler				

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

Chapter 531-11 is intended to provide generally applicable rules that govern the claiming and payment of prizes when players win games operated by the Iowa Lottery. Payment of prizes furthers the Iowa Lottery's goals of providing continuing entertainment to the public and maximizing revenue. The requirements outlined that govern claiming prizes are intended to ensure the fairness and integrity of Lottery operations.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. In 2023, the Iowa Lottery awarded winning players over \$305 million dollars.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Players who choose to participate in games offered by the Iowa Lottery do not incur any costs beyond the price of the cost of a ticket or share in the game or games of their choosing.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The agency incurs no costs to administer this rule chapter beyond those costs envisioned by chapter 99G of the Iowa Code. Under section 99G.38, the Iowa Lottery is self-funded.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. As described above, the Lottery pays hundreds of millions of dollars in prizes to winning players each year. The payment of those prizes evidences the continued popularity of the games offered by the Iowa Lottery among its players and furthers the Iowa Lottery's goals of entertaining the public and maximizing revenues. These rules rules facilitate the claim process and the payment of prizes to winning players.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? \square YES \boxtimes NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Theses rules provide minimum, generally-applicable standards for prize claims and payments across all lottery products. Less restrictive alternatives may threaten the fairness and integrity of these processes and Lottery operations overall.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes, some of the rules in this chapter contain outdated, redundant, or unnecessary language.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

None.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

- 11.1 is amended to comply with 2023 Iowa Acts, Senate File 514, and provide clarity.
- 11.2 is amended to provide clarity.
- 11.3 is amended to provide clarity.
- 11.4 is amended to provide clarity.
- 11.5 is amended to remove redundant and unnecessary language.
- 11.6 is amended to provide clarity.
- 11.7 is amended to update outdated language and provide clarity.
- 11.8 is amended to remove restrictive language.
- 11.9 is amended to update outdated language and provide clarity.
- 11.10 is amended to remove unnecessary language.
- 11.11 is amended to update outdated language.
- 11.12 is amended to remove restrictive language and provide clarity.
- 11.13 is amended to update outdated language.
- 11.14 is amended to remove restrictive language and update outdated language.

*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	43 words
	removed
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	18 terms
	removed

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

None noted.