

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Revenue (Iowa Lottery Authority)	Date:	8/23/2024	Total Rule Count:	12
IAC #:	531	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	13	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	99G.7, 99G.9, 99G.12, 99G.21, 99G.24, 99G.25, 99G.27, 99G.28, 99G.30, 99G.31, 99G.35
Contact Name:	Madelyn Cutler	Email:	madelyn.cutler@iowa.gov	Phone:	(515) 724-2924

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

Chapter 531—13 is intended to provide generally applicable rules that outline the responsibilities of retailers licensed by the Iowa Lottery to sell lottery products. These rules further the Lottery’s goal of developing and maintaining a network of retailers across the state who serve the public convenience and promote the sale of the Lottery’s products while ensuring integrity of the Lottery’s operations and games.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes. In 2023, the Iowa Lottery’s network of retailers continued to expand across the state. These rules outline the responsibilities of licensed retailers and facilitate the retail sale of lottery products.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

Under these rules, lottery retailers will incur costs when they purchase lottery products from the Lottery for resale to their customer as described in rule 531—13.6. The same rule also describes retailer compensation for sale of lottery products to customers.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

The agency incurs no cost to administer these rules beyond the costs envisioned by chapter 99G of the Iowa Code. Under section 99G.38, the Iowa Lottery is self-funded.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. As described above, a robust retailer network is central to the Iowa Lottery’s mission to provide public entertainment and maximizing revenue while ensuring fairness and integrity. The partnership benefits both the Lottery and its retailer partners. While furthering those goals, these rules also promote the integrity of the Lottery’s operations by outlining with clarity the obligations of retailers who choose to sell lottery products at retail.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

Any less restrictive alternatives may compromise the fairness and integrity of the Lottery’s operations.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Yes, some of the rules in this chapter contain outdated, redundant, or unnecessary language.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

13.4 and 13.13

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

13.1 is repromulgated nearly in its entirety.
13.2 is amended to remove language duplicative of statute.
13.3 is amended to remove language duplicative of statute.
13.5 is amended to update outdated language.
13.6 is amended to update outdated language and provide clarity.
13.7 is amended to update outdated language.
13.8 is amended to update outdated language and provide clarity.
13.9 is amended to update outdated language and provide clarity.
13.10 is amended to remove language duplicative of statute.
13.11 is amended to update outdated language, remove restrictive terms, and provide clarity.
13.12 is amended to update outdated language and provide clarity.

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	2
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	130 words removed
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	11 terms removed

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

None noted.